

REMARKS

The Office Action dated May 21 , 2004 has been fully considered by the Applicant. Claims 1, 4, 6, 11, 15-16, 18-22, and 26 are currently amended; claims 3, 5, 7-10, 12, 17, 27 and 28 have been previously presented; and claims 2,13-14,and 23-25 have been canceled.

Enclosed is a Three-month Extension of Time and a check in the amount of \$980 to cover the Extension fee.

Claims 1 and 25 have been rejected under 25 USC 112 as lacking antecedent basis. Claim 1 has been amended to overcome the rejection and claim 25 have been canceled.

Claims 1-11, 23 and 25-28 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 5,859,628 to Ross et al. Reconsideration of the rejection is requested.

Claim 1 has been amended to include as a part thereon a holder for storing the device when not in use and/or to allow the device to operate and a magnification means provided on the holder for magnification of material displayed on the display screen and for projection of material against a further surface for viewing. The '628 patent to Ross et al does not include a holder having a magnification means. Therefore, Applicants believe that currently amended claim 1 is novel over the cited references and respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection.

Claims 12, 13, and 24 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5,859,628 to Ross et al in view of United States Patent No. 6,158,884 to Lebbhy et al. Claims 13 and 24 have been canceled. Claim 12 depends upon currently amended claim 1 and therefore claim 12 is overcomes the rejections for the same reasons as previously stated.

Claims 14-22 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim


and any intervening claims. Examiner Orgad has indicated that the claims would be allowable if rewritten to include the limitations of claim 14. Claim 14 has been incorporated into currently amended claim 1.

Applicant believes that the claims as amended herein overcome the prior art and therefore respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection.

It is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. If any further issues remain, a telephone conference with the Examiner is respectfully requested. If any fees are associated with this application, the Examiner is directed to credit deposit Account No. 08-1500.

HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark G. Kachigian', is written over a horizontal line.

Mark G. Kachigian
Registration No. 32,840
Head, Johnson & Kachigian
228 West 17th Place
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
(918) 587-2000
Attorneys for Applicant

Date: 17 November 2004